

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/616,285 07/08/2003 Masakazu Matsumoto B-5142 621049-1 9904 36716 7590 05/13/2005 **EXAMINER** LADAS & PARRY SMITH, JAMES G 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679 3723

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Tr
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/616,285	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James G. Smith	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under M 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,11 and 12 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 and 13 is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/616,285

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 6-10 and 13 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 12 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim still refers to "a handle portion" instead of -- the handle portion --, thus it still twice claims the same element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 11 and 12 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any of Smith or Chang as all the broadly claimed structure is present in all the references.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,285

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5,11 and 12 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Layton et. al. in view of Chang.

Layton et. al. shows the claimed invention except for the use of a reversing mechanism to change direction of rotation. Chang suggests that a driver having ratcheting rollers can also be made reversible by use of a lever. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Layton et. al. by using a reversing lever to change direction of rotation <u>because</u>

Chang suggests the use of such a mechanism in roller clutch types of wrenches.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 21 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The question of whether claim 11 is generic or not is moot as there is no election of species, but a restriction between two inventions. Claims 6-10 and 13 are clearly to a different invention and are restricted out.

Claim 12 still contains language that renders it indefinite, however it is assumed that the handle portion referred to is that of claim 11, not an additional one.

Applicant's remarks with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3, 11 and 12 under section 102 are not persuasive as what the wrench operates on is not a patentable limitation as only the wrench is claimed. Further, the claims are of such breadth that the remarks are more specific than the claimed limitations.

With respect to the rejection under section 103, clearly Layton et. al. shows engaging the workpiece with a roller clutch mechanism and Chang provides one skilled in the art with the suggestion to make such a mechanism reversible.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3723

jgs 5/11/05